UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,045	03/13/2004	Charles P. Plant	1004-01	6729
LAW OFFICE OF JILL SHEDD & ASSOCIATIONS, P.C. 430 FRANKLIN VILLAGE DR			EXAMINER	
			BEN, LOHA	
#212 FRANKLIN, M	IA 02038		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/799,045	PLANT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LOHA BEN	2873	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be a part of the may be seared patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-16 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withde 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,10 and 13-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 9 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) and are subjected to by the Examination of the are subjected to be also are subjected to by the Examin	lrawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. iner.	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cornal of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	rection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap riority documents have been r eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/799,045 Page 2

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The terminal disclaimer filed February 28, 2008 has been received, and noted with appreciation.

The Amendment dated February 28, 2008 shows no major changes to the rejected claims, and especially fails to further provide a characteristic, or property, or a limitation to independent claim 1 that would substantively differentiate the invention of claim 1 from the prior art used against it. Namely, as now recited in claim 1, the optical navigation chip, absent further limitation, is equivalent to detecting elements 23 and 25 of Cornsweet et al. Similar reasons apply also to other references used against claims previously.

With regard to the statement made by Applicants in second paragraph from the bottom of page 5 contending that the CCD video camera of Cornsweet et al is not for tracking eye movement, the examiner strongly disagrees. See abstract, column 1, lines 6-10 and column 2, lines 3-5 of Cornsweet et al.

In light of the above, the arguments made by Applicants in the Amendment of February, 2008 are not persuasive. Therefore, all claims that were previously rejected under "102" and "103" still stand rejected on the same grounds.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2873

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-4, 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cornsweet et al.

See previous Office action.

Claims 1-7, 10, 12, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rothberg et al.

See previous Office action.

Claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith.

See previous Office action.

Claims 1-4, 12, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yee et al.

See previous Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cornsweet et al.

See previous Office action.

Art Unit: 2873

Claims 8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rothberg et al.

See previous Office action.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LOHA BEN whose telephone number is (571)272-2323. The examiner can normally be reached on M-SAT, generally between 12:01 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Mack, can be reached on M-F, at (571) 272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/799,045 Page 5

Art Unit: 2873

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

June 6, 2008

/Loha Ben/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873